



Appendix I: List of Adjudication Documents and adjudication Judgment

Page Intentionally Left Blank

Adjudication Documents

Antelope Valley Watermaster. July 26, 2018. 2017 Annual Report.

Leighton, D.A. and Phillips, S.P. 2003. Simulation of Ground-Water Flow and Land Subsidence in the Antelope Valley Ground-Water Basin, California. Prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the Antelope Valley Water Group. Water-Resources Investigations Report 03-4016.

Rozman, M. et al. 2011. Semitropic-Rosamond Water Bank Authority – Antelope Valley Waterbank. Abstract and PowerPoint Presentation for the Managed Aquifer Recharge Symposium. January 25-26.

Superior Court of California. 2006. Revised Order After Hearing on Jurisdictional Boundaries. Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP4408). Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 325 201.

Superior Court of California. 2008. Order After Phase Two Trial of Hydrologic Nature of Antelope Valley. Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP4408). Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. Case No. 1-05-CV 049053.

Superior Court of California. 2010. Ex Parte Application of “Moving Principals” for Continuance of Trial; Declaration of Douglas J. Evertz in Support of Application. Case No. BC 364553.

Superior Court of California. 2010. Willis Class Stipulation of Settlement. Case No. BC 364553.

Superior Court of California. 2011. Statement of Decision Phase Three Trial. Case No. BC 325201.

Superior Court of California. 2011. Declaration of Steven Bachman, Ph.D., In Response to the Declaration of Joseph Scalmanini Re: Rebuttal Testimony. Phase 3 Trial. Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053. Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 325 201.

Superior Court of California. 2012. Notice of Lodgment in Support of Notice of Motion and Motion for Reconsideration of the Court’s November 16, 2011 Order RE Election for Periodic Payments of the Amended Final Judgment Approving Willis Class Action Settlement; Memorandum of Points and Authorities. Case No.: BC 364553.

Superior Court of California. 2013. Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency’s Statement RE Phase V Trial Proposal. Phase 3 Trial. Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053. Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 325 201.

Superior Court of California. 2015. Judgment. Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053. Los Angeles County Superior Court.

United States Geological Survey (USGS). 1967. Water Resources of the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency Area, California. (67-21).

Page Intentionally Left Blank

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT

ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER
CASES

Included Actions:
Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.
40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of
California, County of Los Angeles, Case No.
BC 325201;

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.
40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of
California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-
CV-254-348;

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale
Water Dist., Superior Court of California,
County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840,
RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

RICHARD WOOD, on behalf of himself and
all other similarly situated v. A.V. Materials,
Inc., et al., Superior Court of California,
County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC509546

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding
No. 4408

CLASS ACTION

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar

~~(PROPOSED)~~ JUDGMENT

1 The matter came on for trial in multiple phases. A large number of parties representing
2 the majority of groundwater production in the Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication (“Basin”)
3 entered into a written stipulation to resolve their claims and requested that the Court enter their
4 [Proposed] Judgment and Physical Solution as part of the final judgment. As to all remaining
5 parties, including those who failed to answer or otherwise appear, the Court heard the testimony
6 of witnesses, considered the evidence, and heard the arguments of counsel. Good cause
7 appearing, the Court finds and orders judgment as follows:

- 8 1. The Second Amended Stipulation For Entry of Judgment and Physical Solution
9 among the stated stipulating parties is accepted and approved by the Court.
- 10 2. Consistent with the December 23 2015 Statement of Decision (“Decision”), the
11 Court adopts the Proposed Judgment and Physical Solution attached hereto as
12 Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference, as the Court’s own physical
13 solution (“Physical Solution”). The Physical Solution is binding upon all parties.
- 14 3. In addition to the terms and provisions of the Physical Solution the Court finds as
15 follows:
 - 16 a. Each of the Stipulating Parties to the Physical Solution has the right to
17 pump groundwater from the Antelope Valley Adjudication Area as stated
18 in the Decision and Physical Solution.
 - 19 b. The following entities are awarded prescriptive rights from the native safe
20 yield against the Tapia Parties, defaulted parties identified in Exhibit 1 to
21 the Physical Solution, and parties who did not appear at trial identified in
22 Exhibit B attached hereto, in the following amounts:

23	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	17,659.07 AFY
24	Palmdale Water District	8,297.91 AFY
25	Littlerock Creek Irrigation District	1,760 AFY
26	Quartz Hill Water District	1,413 AFY
27	Rosamond Community Services District	1,461.7 AFY
28	Palm Ranch Irrigation District	960 AFY

1	Desert Lake Community Services District	318 AFY
2	California Water Service Company	655 AFY
3	North Edwards Water District	111.67 AFY

4 No other parties are subject to these prescriptive rights.

5 c. Each of the parties referred to in the Decision as Supporting Landowner
6 Parties has the right to pump groundwater from the Antelope Valley
7 Adjudication Area as stated in the Decision and in Paragraph 5.1.10 of the
8 Physical Solution in the following amounts:

9	i. Desert Breeze MHP, LLC	18.1 AFY
10	ii. Milana VII, LLC dba Rosamond Mobile Home Park	21.7 AFY
11	iii. Reesdale Mutual Water Company	23 AFY
12	iv. Juanita Eyherabide, Eyherabide Land Co., LLC	
13	and Eyherabide Sheep Company, collectively	12 AFY
14	v. Clan Keith Real Estate Investments, LLC.,	
15	dba Leisure Lake Mobile Estates	64 AFY
16	vi. White Fence Farms Mutual Water Co. No. 3	4 AFY
17	vii. LV Ritter Ranch LLC	0 AFY

18 d. *viii. Robar Enterprises Inc., Hi-Grade Materials Co., and CSR, a*
Each member of the Small Pumper Class can exercise an overlying right
19 pursuant to the Physical Solution. The Judgment Approving Small Pumper
20 Class Action Settlements is attached as Exhibit C ("Small Pumper Class
21 Judgment") and is incorporated herein by reference.

22 e. Cross-defendant Charles Tapia, as an individual and as Trustee of Nellie
23 Tapia Family Trust (collectively, "The Tapia Parties") has no right to pump
24 groundwater from the Antelope Valley Adjudication Area except under the
25 terms of the Physical Solution.

26 f. Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District ("Phelan") has no right to
27 pump groundwater from the Antelope Valley Adjudication Area except
28 under the terms of the Physical Solution.

General Partnership - 200 AFY

1 g. The Willis Class members have an overlying right that is to be exercised in
2 accordance with the Physical Solution.

3 h. All defendants or cross-defendants who failed to appear in any of these
4 coordinated and consolidated cases are bound by the Physical Solution and
5 their overlying rights, if any, are subject to the prescriptive rights of the
6 Public Water Suppliers. A list of the parties who failed to appear is
7 attached hereto as Exhibit D.

8 i. ~~Robar Enterprises, Inc., Hi-Grade Materials Co., and CJR, a general~~
9 ~~partnership (collectively, "Robar") are~~

10 _____
11 _____
12 _____
13 _____
14 4. Each party shall designate the name, address and email address, to be used for all
15 subsequent notices and service of process by a designation to be filed within thirty
16 days after entry of this Judgment. The list attached as Exhibit A to the Small
17 Pumper Class Judgment shall be used for notice purposes initially, until updated
18 by the Class members and/or Watermaster. The designation may be changed from
19 time to time by filing a written notice with the Court. Any party desiring to be
20 relieved of receiving notice may file a waiver of notice to be approved by the
21 Court. The Court will maintain a list of parties and their respective addresses to
22 whom notice or service of process is to be sent. If no designation is made as
23 required herein, a party's designee shall be deemed to be the attorney of record or,
24 in the absence of an attorney of record, the party at its specified address.

25 5. All real property owned by the parties within the Basin is subject to this Judgment.
26 It is binding upon all parties, their officers, agents, employees, successors and
27 assigns. Any party, or executor of a deceased party, who transfers real property
28 that is subject to this Judgment shall notify any transferee thereof of this Judgment.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

This Judgment shall not bind the parties that cease to own real property within the Basin, and cease to use groundwater, except to the extent required by the terms of an instrument, contract, or other agreement.

The Clerk shall enter this Judgment.

Dated: Dec 23, , 201 5



JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Page Intentionally Left Blank